NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY ARCHIVED FILE

Archived files are provided for reference purposes only. This file was current when produced, but is no longer maintained and may now be outdated. Content may not appear in full or in its original format. All links external to the document have been deactivated. For additional information, see http://pubs.nal.usda.gov.

Alternative Farming Systems Information Center of the National Agricultural Library Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture

Part-time Farming, Small Farms, and Small-scale Farming in the United States

Books, Articles, and Videocassettes, 1996-September 1998

Updates Quick Bibliography 97-03

145 Citations in English from the AGRICOLA Database January 1999

Compiled By:

Mary V. Gold

Alternative Farming Systems Information Center (http://afsic.nal.usda.gov), Information Centers Branch National Agricultural Library, Agricultural Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2351

1. Agreements for rural landowners, ranchers, farmers, homesteaders & outfitters: comprehensive forms with instructions.

Henze, M. T. 236p. (LawCraft Pub. Co., San Clemente CA, 1997)

NAL Call #: KF1682.H46--1997

Descriptors: agricultural laws and legislation-united states-forms; farm law-united states-forms

2. Agriculture and the family farm in the economy.

Lloyd, A.; Malcom, B. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.59-80. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; economics; australia

3. Agroforestry economic model for the U.S. family farm: a decision support system.

Blanche, C. A.; Knowles, R. L.; Middlemiss, P. G.; Brown, M. A. *Proc Soc Am For Natl Conv.* p.122-127. (1997)

NAL Call #: SD143.S64

Descriptors: usa

4. Alpacas: wooly & wonderful.

Rae, M. Small farm today. v.14(1): p.27. (1997 Feb.-1997 Mar.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: alpacas; livestock enterprises; small farms

5. Alternatives for small farm survival: government policies versus the free market.

Tweeten, L. G.; Amponsah, W. A. *J agric appl econ.* v.28(1): p.88-98. (1996 July)

NAL Call #: HD101.S6

Descriptors: federal programs; resource allocation; markets; rural development; usa

Abstract: This paper briefly outlines a topology of small farms and then considers the role of the government versus the market in key public policies such as commodity income support, environment, stability, research, and rural development. A number of options are explored for public policy to better serve small farms, including drastic alternatives such as graduated property taxes on farmland, with exemptions or lower rates for small farms. These and other alternatives are not necessarily recommended. Improved extension education and human resource development offer some of the most promising public policy opportunities to help small farmers.

6. Amaranth to zai holes: ideas for growing food under difficult conditions.

Meitzner, L. S.; Price, M. L. vi, 404p. (ECHO, North Fort Myers, FL, 1996)

NAL Call #: S604.37.M45--1996

Descriptors: agriculture-tropics; tropical crops; farms, small-tropics; food crops-tropics; agriculture-developing countries; tropical crops-developing countries; farms, small-developing countries

7. The American family farm.

Halberstadt, H. 192p. (Motorbooks International, Osceola, WI, 1996)

NAL Call #: HD1476.U5H27--1996

Descriptors: family farms-united states; family farms-united states-history pictorial works; photography of farms; united states-rural conditions; united states-rural conditions-pictorial works

8. Assessment of program delivery to small farmers: fax information center system at satellite locations.

Richardson, J. G.; Knight, J.; May, F.; McAlister, M. *J appl commun.* v.82(1): p.21-30. (1998)

NAL Call #: S494.5.C6A24

Descriptors: cooperative extension service; north carolina

9. Assistance to intergenerational farms: the college-to-farm transition.

Gamon, J. A.; Rowe, S. *NACTA j.* v.41(1): p.14-19. (1997 Mar.)

NAL Call #: 275.9-N213

Descriptors: farm families; family farms; generations; educational programs; evaluation; agricultural education; higher education; farm closures; demography; iowa; ag link program

10. The Battisti family dairy farm: a profile.

Welsh, R. J soil water conserv. v.51(1): p.34-37. (1996 Jan.-1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: 56.8-J822

Descriptors: dairy farming; family farms; farming systems; sustainability; farmers' attitudes; objectives; farm management; case studies; new york

11. Case study: Wooden Shoe Dairy, New Mexico, USA.

Siebert, J. W.; Schwart, R. B. Agribusiness. v.13(6): p.637-648. (1997 Nov.-1997 Dec.)

NAL Call #: HD1401.A56

Descriptors: dairy farms; family farms; farm management; large farms; case studies; milk production; farm comparisons; technical progress; milk marketing; dairy cooperatives; new mexico

Abstract: This teaching case enables students to examine basic forces transforming the structure of the dairy industry. A New Mexico family farm faces challenges associated its large milk production volume. In an environment of rapid but uncertain change, questions regarding future management structure and investment priorities must be answered. The dairy examined is considered representative of the modern dairies of Netherlands lineage operating throughout the southwestern United States. This case does not represent an actual farm, but is a composite of realistic design.

12. Changing market dynamics for small-scale vegetable producers.

Zimet, D. Small farm today. v.14(1): p.44. (1997 Feb.-1997 Mar.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: vegetables; marketing; vegetable growing; organic farming; small farms

13. The changing structure of U.S. agriculture: dualism out, industrialism in.

Albrecht, D. E. *Rural sociol*. v.62(4): p.474-490. (1997 Winter)

NAL Call #: 281.28-R88

Descriptors: agricultural sector; structural change; farm numbers; history; trends; small farms; large farms; economic depression; economic impact; regression analysis; usa

Abstract: Between 1982 and 1992, trends in farm structural change resumed patterns that had existed from the Great Depression to the 1970s. That is, farms became fewer and larger. By 1992, the number of American farms declined below two million for the first time since the Civil War. Also, the trend toward dualism noted in the 1970s is over, as the number of small farms again declined rapidly during the 1980s. The trend toward large-scale agriculture is most prominent in the most important agricultural counties.

14. Characteristics and risk management needs of limited-resource and socially disadvantaged farmers.

Dismukes, R.; Harwood, J. L.; Bentley, S.; United States. Dept. of Agriculture. Economic Research Service. v, 92p. (USDA, ERS: USDA, Risk Management Agency, Washington, DC, 1997)

NAL Call #: 1--Ag84Ab-no.733

Descriptors: crop insurance-united states; risk management-united states; farms, small-united states; farmers-economic conditions; farmers-social conditions

15. Choosing tools & equipment for a small farm.

Macher, R. Small farm today. v.14(2): p.23. (1997 Apr.-1997 May)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

16. Clausens choose Romanovs.

Fyksen, J. Small farm today. v.13(2): p.42-44. (1996 Apr.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: sheep farming; romanov; small farms

17. A close-up of changes in farm organization.

Hoppe, R. A. Agric outlook. (227): p.2-4. (1996 Mar.)

NAL Call #: aHD1751.A42

Descriptors: family farms; agricultural production; marketing; companies; usa; nonfamily farms

18. A comparison of extension program delivery strategies for small and part-time farmers in North Carolina.

Richardson, J. G.; Stephenson, J.; Riddick, G.; Caldwell, A.; McAlister, M. HortTechnology. v.6(2): p.138-140.

(1996 Apr.-1996 June)

NAL Call #: SB317.5.H68

Descriptors: technology transfer; small farms; extension education; farmers; diffusion of information; innovation adoption; information services; cooperative extension service; north carolina; information delivery methods

19. Consider the chicken.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.14(3): p.19-20. (1997 June-1997 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: poultry farming; chickens; breeds; small farms

20. Corn and soybeans--but organic.

Berg, P. Small farm today. v.14(2): p.46-48. (1997 Apr.-1997 May)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: zea mays; glycine max; crop production; organic farming; small farms

21. Country life: a handbook for realists and dreamers. 1st American ed.

Heiney, P. 192 p. (DK Pub., New York, 1998)

NAL Call #: S501.2.H45--1998

Descriptors: agriculture-handbooks, manuals, etc; farms, small-handbooks, manuals, etc; farm life-handbooks, manuals, etc

22. Crisis on the family farm: ethics or economics?: proceedings of a symposium, organised by the Family Farmers' Association (formerly the Small Farmers' Association) and the Center for Agricultural Strategy, and held at the University of Reading, 30-31 March 1993.

Carruthers, S. P.; Miller, F. A.; Symposium on the Crisis on the Family Farm (1993: Reading, E. 284p. (Centre for Agricultural Strategy, University of Reading, Reading, England, 1996)

NAL Call #: S217.C3--no.28

Descriptors: agriculture-economic aspects-congresses; family farms-finance-great britain-congresses; family farms-moral and ethical aspects-great britain-congresses

23. The development of alternative farm enterprises: a study of family labour farms in the northern Pennines of England.

Bowler, I.; Clark, G.; Crockett, A.; Ilbery, B.; Shaw, A. J rural stud. v.12(3): p.285-295. (1996 July)

NAL Call #: HT401.J68

Descriptors: family farms; farm enterprises; family labor; farm comparisons; farm indebtedness; decision making; farm families; traditional farming; ancillary enterprises; less favored areas; discriminant analysis; england

Abstract: This paper offers an empirical test of a middle-order theorisation of business change on family labour farms. The concept of 'paths of farm business development' is examined in the northern Pennines of England using discriminant analysis and 34 variables drawn from the published literature on the dynamics of the family farm. Farm indebtedness is shown to be the dominant variable discriminating between farms in the different pathways, although the exact role of farm debt varies between pathways. Farm families selecting the alternative farm enterprise (AFE) pathway can be divided between those that display 'accumulation' (principal AFE) end 'survival' (marginal AFE) behaviours. The findings are contextualised to the U.K. and an era of historically high interest rates and farm indebtedness.

24. Doing without the big red barn.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.13(2): p.17-18. (1996 Apr.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; management; farm buildings; animal housing

25. Economic and market forces influencing farm land ownership.

Wright, V.; Kaine, G. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia /. p.81-98. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; land ownership; economic situation; agricultural situation; australia

26. The economics of canola production by limited-resource farmers in South Carolina.

Onunkwo, E. N.; Holston, N. W. J sustain agric. v.10(4): p.49-74. (1997)

NAL Call #: S494.5.S86S8

Descriptors: brassica; crop production; economic viability; models; profitability; double cropping; glycine max; south carolina

27. Elk: a growing market.

Williams, L. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.29-30. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: cervus elaphus canadensis; farming; velvet; small farms; animal husbandry; pennsylvania

28. Emerging markets for family farms: opportunities to prosper through social and environmental responsibility.

O'Neill, K. 61p. (Center for Rural Affairs, Walthill, NE, 1997)

NAL Call #: HF5413.O54--1997

Descriptors: green marketing; farm produce-marketing; alternative agriculture-economic aspects

29. Emus in America.

Bedwell, J. E. Small farm today. v.13(5): p.22-24. (1996 Oct.-1996 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: emus; livestock enterprises; small farms; animal husbandry; usa

30. Environmental change and farm restructuring in Britain: the impact of the farm family life cycle.

Potter, C. Agricultural restructuring and sustainability a geographical perspective. p.73-86. (1997)

NAL Call #: HN49.C6S82-no.3

31. Estate planning for farm families. Rev. August 1996.

Saskatchewan. Saskachewan Agriculture and Food. Economic and Business Section. 44p. (Saskatchewan Agriculture and Food, Regina, 1996)

NAL Call #: HD1476.C2E77--1996

Descriptors: family farms-canada; estate planning-canada; land titles-registration and transfer-canada

32. Estimating smallholder's risks aversion: a method to improve impact-analyses of potential innovations: an example from Zimbabwe's semi-arid areas.

Hedden Dunkhorst, B. Food security and innovations successes and lessons learned international symposium 1996. p.483-494. (1997)

NAL Call #: S494.5.I5F68-1997

Descriptors: small farms; farmers' attitudes; farm income; food security; mathematical models; zimbabwe

33. European wild boar: a small farm alternative.

Lyle, C. Small farm today. v.14(4): p.35-36. (1997 Aug.-1997 Sept.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

34. Factors affecting the status & future of agriculture in Oklahoma.

Sanders, L. D. *Cur farm econ.* v.69(1): p.3-16. (1996)

NAL Call #: HD1775.O5C87

Descriptors: agricultural situation; agricultural policy; family farms; agricultural structure; structural change; economic impact; farmers; agribusiness; rural communities; oklahoma

35. Factors influencing exposure of children to major hazards on family farms.

Lee, B. C.; Jenkins, L. S.; Westaby, J. D. *J rural health*. v.13(3): p.206-215. (1997 Summer)

NAL Call #: RA771.A1J68

Descriptors: children; fathers; parents; family farms; dairy cows; farmers' attitudes; behavior; hazards; risk; trauma; safety at work; decision making; wisconsin; planned behavior

Abstract: On family farms, parents are usually knowledgeable of high-risk activities yet they allow their children to be active participants in (or bystanders to) hazardous work for reasons not well-documented. A two-phase descriptive study, based on the theory of planned behavior and using mail survey research methods, was carried out to understand factors that influences parents' decisions to expose children to major hazards on family farms. A representative sample of 1,255 Wisconsin dairy farm fathers provided data about factors that influence their decisions to expose children younger than 14 years to risks of injury. Multivariate analyses revealed that attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived control accounted for up to three-fourths of the variance in fathers' behavioral intentions. Fathers' attitudes were stronger predictors of behavioral intentions than subjective norms (i.e. perceived social pressure) or perceived control. Grandparents and mothers exerted a limited influence. Other groups, such as health care providers 4-H, Future Farmers of America, and insurers, exerted only a modest influence on fathers' feelings of social pressure. Few demographic characteristics of the family or farm were predictive of fathers' intentions to expose children to hazards.

36. Families and the farm adjustment process.

Stayner, R. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.121-145. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; adjustment; economics; rural economy; australia

37. Families on small farms: case studies in human ecology.

Sontag, M. S.; Bubolz, M. M. xxiii, 432p. (Michigan State University Press, East Lansing, 1996)

NAL Call #: HD1476.U6M57--1996

Descriptors: family farms-michigan-case studies; rural families-michigan-social conditions; farm life-michigan-

case studies; farmers-michigan-social conditions; michigan-rural conditions-case studies

38. Family factors affecting adoption of sustainable farming systems.

Salamon, S.; Farnsworth, R. L.; Bullock, D. G.; Yusuf, R. J soil water conserv. v.52(4): p.265-271. (1997 July-1997 Aug.)

NAL Call #: 56.8-J822

Descriptors: farming; alternative farming; family farms; sustainability; innovation adoption; resistance to change; farm families; rural sociology; conventional farming

39. Family farm seminars.

Burgus, S. *J agromed*. v.4(3/4): p.335-341. (1997)

NAL Call #: RC965.A5J68

Descriptors: farm families; children; occupational hazards; safety at work; educational programs; teaching methods; iowa; educational efficacy

40. Family farmers, real regulation, and the experience of food regimes.

Moran, W.; Blunden, G.; Workman, M.; Bradly, A. J rural stud. v.12(3): p.245-258. (1996 July)

NAL Call #: HT401.J68

Descriptors: family farms; farm enterprises; food marketing; food production; regulations; legislation; community action; social participation; france; new zealand

Abstract: The literature on food regimes gives insufficient attention to the national and regional variability in the experience of food regimes. Two analytical frameworks are integrated for this purpose in this paper. Recent debates on the nature of family farming as a form of production and its relationships to the capitalist economy and further development of the concept of 'real' regulation enable us better to understand past food regimes and the processes of uneven development in western economies. Social and political movements in the countryside, often supported by legislation, are suggested as neglected elements in understanding the experience of individual nations within historical and emerging global food systems. By their association in communities, in cooperatives, and in more politically-oriented organizations, farmers are able to influence the form of agrocommodity chains and legislation governing the rural sector, key factors which influence the variability of the experience of food regimes. Our examples are France and the settler economy of New Zealand.

41. Family farming, farm labour and rural employment. Who works where? A international comparison.

Jean, B. Can j agric econ. v.44(4): p.411-419. (1996 Dec.)

NAL Call #: 281.8-C16

Descriptors: family farms; farm workers; off farm employment; rural communities; international comparisons; quebec; france; canada; poland; tunisia; brazil

42. Farm memories: an illustrated history of rural life.

Halberstadt, A. 1. 160p. (Motorbooks International, Osceola, WI, 1996)

NAL Call #: S521.5.A2H34--1996

Descriptors: farm life-united states; farms, small-united states; agriculture-united states

43. Field of pumpkins.

Tannehill, K. Small farm today. v.14(5): p.22-23. (1997 Oct.-1997 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; ancillary enterprises; oregon

44. Fields without dreams: defending the agrarian idea.

Hanson, V. D. c. xxiii, 289p. (Free Press, New York, 1996)

NAL Call #: HD1476.U5H28--1996

Descriptors: family farms-united states; agriculture-economic aspects-united states

45. Financing smaller farms.

Dodson, C. Proc Agric Outl Conf. (USDA World Agricultural Outlook Board). p.358-367. (1998 May)

NAL Call #: aHD1755.A376

Descriptors: small farms; usda; finance; usa

46. Flett's flock.

Polachic, D. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.20. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: shetland sheep breed; characterization; small farms; wool production; saskatchewan

47. Free-range poultry.

Geissal, D. Small farm today. v.13(3): p.20-21. (1996 June)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: poultry farming; small farms; free range husbandry

48. The future of the family farm.

Lees, J. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.245-253. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; trends; australia

49. Good riddance to rats and mice.

Trunko, M. E. Small farm today. v.14(2): p.38-40. (1997 Apr.-1997 May)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: rodent control; small farms

50. Growing borage for profit.

Polachic, D. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.45. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: borago officinalis; cultivation; small farms; saskatchewan; alternative crops

51. Growing echinacea for profit.

Polachic, D. Small farm today. v.13(2): p.53. (1996 Apr.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: echinacea; crop production; ancillary enterprises; small farms; medicinal plants; saskatchewan;

alternative crops

52. Growing the family farm income.

Polachic, D. Small farm today. v.15(3): p.49-50. (1998 June-1998 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: market gardens; family farms

53. Happy Mountain farm.

Gradwohl, A. Small farm today. v.15(3): p.29-30. (1998 June-1998 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: cattle farming; small farms; family farms

54. Heaven and earth: the last farmers of the North Fork. 1st ed.

Wick, S. xi, 209p. (St. Martin's Press, New York, 1996)

NAL Call #: S521.5.N7W53--1996

Descriptors: Wickham, John, 1908-1994; farm life-new york state-cutchogue region; farms, small-new york state-cutchogue region; farmers-new york state-cutchogue region; cutchogue region-ny-history

55. How small farms can compete with big farms.

Salatin, J. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.48-49. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; large farms; farm management; market competition

56. How small farms compete.

Duffy, M. Proc Agric Outl Conf. (USDA World Agricultural Outlook Board). p.114-119. (1998 May)

NAL Call #: aHD1755.A376

Descriptors: farm size; market competition

57. How would proposed changes in federal estate taxes affect farmers?. Issues in agricultural and rural finance.

Maxwell, D. L.; United States. Dept. of Agriculture. Economic Research Service. 4p. (U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, ERS, Washington, DC, 1997)

NAL Call #: 1--Ag84Ab-no.724-08

Descriptors: inheritance and transfer tax-united states; family farms-taxation law and legislation-united states; farmers-economic conditions

58. Inheritance and family farm ownership.

Voyce, M. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.199-212. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; inheritance; australia

59. Keeping manure in a closed loop.

Jewell, W. J. *Biocycle*. v.39(7): p.69-71. (1998 July)

NAL Call #: 57.8-C734

Descriptors: dairy cattle; cattle manure; management; family farms; small farms; pollution control; innovations; new york; manure management; waste management

60. A legacy under threat?: family farming in Australia.

Lees, J. W.; University of New England. Rural Development Centre. xii, 259p. (Rural Development Centre, University of New England, Armidale, N.S.W.?, 1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44--1997

Descriptors: family farms-australia-history; agriculture-economic aspects-australia-history

61. Limited-resource farmers: their risk management needs.

Dismukes, R.; Harwood, J. L.; Hoppe, R. A. Agric outlook. (240): p.23-27. (1997 May)

NAL Call #: aHD1751.A42

62. Llamas are fun.

Belcher, S. Small farm today. v.13(5): p.20-23. (1996 Oct.-1996 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: llamas; livestock enterprises; small farms; exotics

63. Make that farm pay--now.

Norling, S. J. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.43-44. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; ancillary enterprises; marketing

64. Making the transition from conventional to sustainable agriculture: gender, social movement participation, and quality of life on the family farm.

Meares, A. C. *Rural sociol.* v.62(1): p.21-27. (1997 Spring)

NAL Call #: 281.28-R88

Descriptors: family farms; woman's status; gender relations; quality of life; small farms; division of labor; marriage; traditional farming; farming systems; sustainability; farmers' attitudes; work study; participation; minnesota

Abstract: This qualitative study of a non-random sample of six farm couples in southeastern Minnesota was shaped by a question originally posed by the participating couples: Is quality of life changing in the same ways for women and men as a consequence of the transition to sustainable farming systems? Historically, the family farm has been treated in research and policy debates as a single unit, with little analysis applied to the multiplicity of interests and roles within the family. Some organizations of the sustainable agriculture movement have followed suit and largely ignored women's roles on the farm, despite their importance to farm operations. The social construct of gender has implications for how farmers in this study define quality of life which, in turn, affects participation in the sustainable agriculture movement. Much of what men emphasize in describing quality of life reflects the values the sustainable agriculture movement itself espouses. For their wives, descriptions of quality of life are largely entwined with their highly elastic gendered roles and responsibilities on the farm, in the household, in paid and unpaid work in the community, and much less with their involvement in the movement. Because women's different and important contributions to the farm and family are not institutionally recognized and addressed by the sustainable agriculture movement, the movement's goals, vision, and activities are gender-specific, dominated by men's participation and contributions.

65. Miniatures and mammals.

Sawyer, S.; Sawyer, C. Small farm today. v.14(5): p.51-53. (1997 Oct.-1997 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; small animal rearing

66. Missouri Small Farmers' Reunion.

Balman, V. *Rural herit*. v.22(5): p.44-45. (1997 Autumn)

NAL Call #: SF311.E9

Descriptors: working animals; horses; agricultural shows; equipment; missouri

67. Mohair a la Française.

Ludmer Gliebe, S. Small farm today. v.14(1): p.24. (1997 Feb.-1997 Mar.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: goat keeping; family farms; farm management; diversification; france

68. Moral issues, family farms and family farm ownership.

Elliot, R. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.175-197. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; land ownership; moral values; agribusiness; australia; corporate farming

69. Movement of Angoumois grain moth (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in a small-farm ecosystem.

Barney, R. J.; Weston, P. A. *Environ entomol.* v.25(2): p.261-267. (1996 Apr.)

NAL Call #: QL461.E532

Descriptors: sitotroga cerealella; movement; dispersal; activity; spatial distribution; temporal variation; small farms; maize; grain stores; bins; zea mays; fields; stored products pests; kentucky

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to monitor activity and movement of Angoumois grain moth, Sitotroga cerealella (Olivier), in a small-farm ecosystem. Moth activity was determined using sticky traps placed in bin vents of storage bins containing shelled corn and pheromone traps placed in concentric circles across the farm with the bins at the center. Moth activity was concentrated in and around the grain bins from June to August and at corn plots in September and October. Mark-recaputre studies confirmed moth dispersal from the bins to corn plots during July-September. Knowledge of the spatial dynamics of Angoumois grain moth may contribute to pest management programs.

70. New money-making options with trees.

Weaver, T. Agric res. v.45(11): p.10-11. (1997 Nov.)

NAL Call #: 1.98-Ag84

Descriptors: silvopastoral systems; alley cropping; sustainability; small farms; usa

71. New tractor power.

Boan, J. Small farm today. v.13(2): p.33-35. (1996 Apr.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: tractors; small farms

72. One-sow farrowing huts.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.13(3): p.49-50. (1996 June)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: sows; farrowing houses; pig farming; small farms

73. The origins of the legacy.

Lees, J. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.1-13. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; agriculture; history; australia

74. Pages from the psychic farmer.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.14(6): p.19-20. (1997 Dec.-1998 Jan.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; farming; projections

75. Parsley, sage, rosemary--no time.

Langford, N. J. Small farm today. v.14(2): p.49-52. (1997 Apr.-1997 May)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: horticultural crops; organic farming; small farms; massachusetts

76. Part-time farming.

University of Nebraska Lincoln. Cooperative Extension. (The Extension, Lincoln, NE, 1996).

VHS videocassette (ca. 69 min.)

NAL Call #: Videocassette--no.2675

Descriptors: part time farming-nebraska; farmers, part time-nebraska

77. Part-time farming, small farms, and small-scale farming in the United States--June 1993-March 1997.

Gold, M. V. Quick bibliogr ser. (National Agricultural Library). #97-03, 40p. (1997 June)

NAL Call #: aZ5071.N3

Descriptors: bibliographies; usa

78. Pastured pigs on the Gunthorp farm.

Gunthorp, G. Small farm today. v.15(3): p.31-35. (1998 June-1998 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: pig farming; small farms

79. Pennsylvania farmer celebrates BisonTennial.

Redfearn, M. M. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.24-25. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: bison; farming; small farms; economic viability; pennsylvania

80. The persistence of family labour farm ownership in advanced capitalist economies.

Bell, J. H.; Pandey, U. S. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.213-243. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; labor; australia

81. Perspectives on regional and enterprise marginality: dairying in Michigan's north country.

Schwarzweller, H. K.; Davidson, A. P. Rural sociol. v.62(2): p.157-179. (1997 Summer)NAL Call #: 281.28-R88

Descriptors: dairy farming; farm comparisons; small farms; marginalization; agricultural regions; livestock numbers; farmers' attitudes; farm inputs; production; michigan

Abstract: Dairy farmers in Michigan's Upper Peninsula, an economically marginal rural region, are encumbered by contextual constraints that are not similarly faced by their downstate counterparts. However, this does not mean that they are any less ambitious or less efficient in dealing with the resources available to them. Indeed, dairying and similar smallscale economic enterprises in this and other marginal contexts may be quite competitive and sustainable if appropriate sociopolitical supports are instituted and, in the case of dairying, if marketing orders and regulatory policies are formulated and implemented in light of their impact upon the economic growth and viability of the wider region. To explore this issue, we consider the situations, basic characteristics, and restructuring trends over time of dairy farms in three upstate localities as compared with that of a downstate dairy farming community.

82. The poor man's cow: Irish Dexters and Kerrys.

Salt, S. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.21-23. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: cattle; dexter; kerry; characterization; cattle farming; small farms

83. Poultry for fly fishing.

Polachic, D. Small farm today. v.13(5): p.28-29. (1996 Oct.-1996 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: poultry; poultry farming; small farms; feathers; angling; hackles; fly lures

84. Poultry production for small farms.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.13(3): p.17-18. (1996 June)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: poultry farming; small farms; poultry; animal production; eggs; trends

85. Proceedings of the National Small Farm Conference. Proceedings, National Small Farm Conference, Nashville, Tennessee, September 10-13, 1996.

Ebodaghe, D. A.; Bullen, G.; National Small Farm Conference (1996: Nashville, TN) xv, 272p. (Plant and Animal Production, Protection and Processing Division of USDA-Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, Washington, DC, 1997)

NAL Call #: aHD1476.U6N37--1996

Descriptors: farms, small-united states-congresses; farms, small-government policy-united states congresses

86. Profitability of black plastic mulch for limited resource farmers.

Mugalla, C. I.; Jolly, C. M.; Martin, N. R. Jr. *J prod agric*. v.9(2): p.283-288. (1996 Apr.-1996 June) NAL Call #: S539.5.J68

Descriptors: vegetable growing; low input agriculture; farm inputs; plastic film; appropriate technology; investment; costs; income; returns; economic evaluation; simulation models; linear programming; surveys; feasibility studies; resource allocation; low energy cultivation; alabama; non farm inputs

Abstract: Farmers and policymakers have recognized the need for alternative technologies for reducing costs and increasing profit for limited resource farmers. Plastic mulch has been evaluated as an alternative technology on experimental stations in the Southeast but its use has not yet gained wide acceptance among limited resource vegetable producers in the area. In this study, the economic feasibility of plastic mulch was evaluated as a profit enhancing technology for limited resource farmers. A farmer survey and farm simulation model were used in the evaluation of this technology. It was noted in the survey that most of the farmers in the research area were part-time and were above 50 years old. The average age was 60, with a minimum of 29 and maximum of 88. Plastic mulch resulted in increased output and farm revenue. The capital turnover ratio for production with plastic mulch was higher than for production without plastic mulch. Each hour of labor used with plastic mulch generated six times more net revenues than without plastic mulch. The total investment required for plastic mulch more than doubled, indicating that it might be difficult to encourage this age group of farmers to adopt the

technology without easy credit arrangements. The diffusion of this technology may be difficult unless the alternative of renting vs. buying the necessary equipment is presented to this age group of farmers.

87. Profitable goat keeping: the basics.

Anderson, L. Small farm today. v.13(3): p.52-53. (1996 June)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: goats; goat keeping; small farms

88. Promoting llamas.

Williams, L. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.34-35. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: llamas; animal husbandry; small farms; ancillary enterprises; marketing channels; pennsylvania

89. Raising Angora goats.

Amato, D. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.36-38. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: angora; characterization; history; animal husbandry; small farms

90. Rare dairy cattle offer small farm advantages.

Palmer, J. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.43-44. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: cattle; cattle breeds; rare breeds; characterization; small farms

91. Rebirth of the small family farm: a handbook for starting a successful organic farm based on the community supported agriculture concept. 1st ed.

Gregson, B.; Gregson, B. 64p. (IMF Associates, Vashon Island, WA, 1996)

NAL Call #: HD1476.U62W24--1996

Descriptors: family farms-washington state; farms, small-handbooks, manuals, etc; organic farming-handbooks, manuals, etc

92. Report of the Expert Consultation on Small-Scale Rural Aguaculture, Rome, Italy, 28-31 May 1996 compiled by Manuel Marinez-Espinosa ... [et al.]. Expert Consultation on Small-Scale Rural Aguaculture, Rome, Italy, 28-31 May 1996.

Martinez Espinosa, M.; Expert Consultation on Small Scale Rural Aguaculture (1996: Rome, I. viii, 182p. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 1997)

NAL Call #: SH323.F6--no.548

Descriptors: aquaculture-congresses; farms, small-congresses; rural development-congresses

93. Research: help or hindrance to good farmers in high risk systems.

Fujisaka, S. *Agric syst.* v.54(2): p.137-152. (1997 June)

NAL Call #: HD1.A3

Descriptors: agricultural research; small farms; farm management; risk; problem solving; dry farming; rice; beans; lowland areas; mountain areas; ethiopia; india; ecuador; diagnostics research; resource poor farmers Abstract: At least some groups of resource-poor farmers in risky environments have developed sound, rational problem-solving practices. In such cases, agricultural research may lead to recommendations that are not superior, or even equal, to farmers' practices. In other cases, research may help to solve specific problems which farmers were unable to solve and for which potential solutions exist. Careful diagnostic research is needed to understand farmers' systems and, in so doing, to determine: (a) where further research might efficiently and effectively help to solve problems; and (b) where further research may have few benefits. Diagnostic research may also show that recommended innovations can run counter to farmers' solutions. Case examples discuss dryland farmers in Ethiopia, rain-fed lowland rice farmers in eastern India and bean farmers in the Andes of Ecuador.

94. Resource development, environmental planning and the family farm: an historical interpretation.

Powell, J. M. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.147-173. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; resource development; history; australia

95. Reviving small farm poultry.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.17-18. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: poultry; breeds; animal breeding; selective breeding; poultry farming; small farms

96. Rock Creek Farm: a demonstration in diversity.

Thibodeau, J. S. Small farm today. v.13(3): p.30-32. (1996 June)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; demonstration farms; low input agriculture; sustainability; farming systems; oklahoma

97. The role of farm ownership in off-farm work participation.

Tavernier, E. M.; Temel, T. T.; Li, F. Agric resour econ rev. v.26(1): p.67-81. (1997 Apr.)

NAL Call #: HD1773.A2N6

Descriptors: labor market; participation; off farm employment; ownership; labor allocation; part time farming; decision making; correlation; farm comparisons; crop enterprises; livestock enterprises; econometric models; descriptive statistics; northeastern states of usa; labor supply model; part ownership

98. The role of husbands and wives in farm technology choice.

Zepeda, L.; Castillo, M. Am j agric econ. v.79(2): p.583-588, 602-604. (1997 May)

NAL Call #: 280.8-J822

Descriptors: family farms; farm management; marriage; decision making; division of labor; technology; innovation adoption; gender relations; rotational grazing; mathematical models; wisconsin; intensive rotational grazing

99. Rural co-ops/family farms get \$100 million boost from USDA rural development.

Rural coop. v.64(6): p.32. (1997 Nov.-1997 Dec.)

NAL Call #: aHD1491.U6R87

Descriptors: federal programs; support measures; cooperatives; usa

100. Safety practices among limited resource farmers in North Carolina.

Ford, C. L.; Walson, F. O.; Owens, J. P. *J agromed*. v.4(3/4): p.217-221. (1997)

NAL Call #: RC965.A5J68

Descriptors: farmers; safety at work; farm families; educational programs; north carolina

101. Settler agriculture and dynamics of resource allocation in frontier environments.

Pichon, F. J. *Hum ecol.* v.24(3): p.341-371. (1996 Sept.)

NAL Call #: HM206.A1H8

Descriptors: small farms; farm management; settlement; rural areas; resource allocation; deforestation; land use; decision making

102. Sharecropping has newfound cachet as community supported agriculture.

Klausner, A. *Environ nutr.* v.19(4): p.1, 6. (1996 Apr.)

NAL Call #: TX341.E5

Descriptors: community programs; small farms; partnerships; consumer attitudes; cooperative farming; costs; consumers; farmers

103. Should you raise Pygmy goats.

Gilmore, J. Small farm today. v.14(3): p.23-25. (1997 June-1997 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: goat keeping; small farms

104. Silviculture for the small farm.

Mannon, A. Small farm today. v.14(5): p.46. (1997 Oct.-1997 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: selective harvesting; logging; animal power; horses; sustainability; virginia

105. Small and part time farms.

United States. Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service. Plant and Animal Production,

Protection, and Processing Division. (The Division, Washington, DC, 1996-)

NAL Call #: aHD1476.U6S62

Descriptors: farms, small-united states-periodicals; part time farming-united states periodicals

106. Small businesses grow with small farms.

Macher, R. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.50-51. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: rural development; small businesses; small farms; relationships

107. Small farm digest.

United States. Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service. (Cooperative State Research,

Education, and Extension Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Washington, DC, 1997-)

PREVIOUS TITLES: Small-scale agriculture today; and Small and part time farms.

NAL Call #: aHD1476.U6S622

Descriptors: small farm program u. s.- periodicals; farms, small-united states-periodicals

108. Small farmers find cool business idea.

Bauer, L. Small farm today. v.14(4): p.51-54. (1997 Aug.-1997 Sept.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: usda; federal programs; refrigeration; coolers; design; economic analysis; sustainable agriculture

research and education program

109. Small farms and sawmills.

Small farm today. v.14(2): p.30-32. (1997 Apr.-1997 May)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: ancillary enterprises

110. Small farms and sustainable development: Is small more sustainable.

D'Souza, G.; Ikerd, J. *J agric appl econ.* v.28(1): p.73-87. (1996 July)

NAL Call #: HD101.S6

Descriptors: small farms; farm size; sustainability; usa

Abstract: A new, post-industrial, paradigm for agriculture is emerging under the concept of sustainable agriculture. The sustainability paradigm has emerged to solve problems created by the industrial model, primarily environmental pollution and resource base degradation. The role of farm size in this transformation to a more sustainable agriculture is the issue addressed. Using a descriptive approach, and relying on a survey of the literature including emerging paradigms and observations, we conclude that, from a sustainability perspective, the smallest effective size will be the most competitive size for farms, as for other knowledge-based enterprises of the future.

111. Small Farms Commission mixes evangelism with some solid proposals.

Breimyer, H. F. Small farm today. v.15(3): p.21-22. (1998 June-1998 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; usda; agricultural policy

112. Small farms in the U.S.

Perry, J.; Hoppe, R. A. Agric outlook. (251): p.22-26. (1998 May)

NAL Call #: aHD1751.A42 *Descriptors:* family farms; usa

113. Socio-cultural factors and family farming.

Alston, M. A legacy under threat? family farming in Australia. p.99-119. (1997)

NAL Call #: HD1476.A8L44-1997

Descriptors: family farms; rural sociology; australia

114. The staff of life.

Ludmer Gliebe, S. Small farm today. v.14(6): p.39. (1997 Dec.-1998 Jan.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; traditional farming; ancillary enterprises; breadmaking; france

115. Start small and learn.

Klober, K. *Small farm today*. v.14(1): p.17-18. (1997 Feb.-1997 Mar.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: farm management; small farms; livestock farming

116. Starting in rotational grazing.

Peach, R. Small farm today. v.14(3): p.34-35. (1997 June-1997 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: dairy farming; family farms

117. Strategies for effective on-farm hazard surveillance visits.

Abend, E. L.; Hallman, E. M. *J agromed*. v.4(1/2): p.47-53. (1997)

NAL Call #: RC965.A5J68

Descriptors: farming; safety at work; farmers' attitudes; data collection; health hazards; extension; educational programs; farm surveys; new york; farm family health and hazard surveillance project ffhhs; farm safety audits

118. Straw Ridge Farm: a tale of diversification.

Roland, G. Small farm today. v.13(5): p.25-26. (1996 Oct.-1996 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; diversification; farm enterprises; part time farming; farm management

119. Stress as a risk factor for agricultural injuries: comparative data from the Iowa farm family health and hazard survey (1994) and the Iowa farm and rural life poll.

Thu, K.; Lasley, P.; Whiteen P.; Lewis, M.; Donham, K. J.; Zwerling, C.; Scarth, R. *J agromed*. v.4(3/4): p.181-191. (1997)

NAL Call #: RC965.A5J68

Descriptors: farmers; mental stress; trauma; risk; regional surveys; occupational hazards; socioeconomics; rural sociology; iowa

120. Structural and financial characteristics of U.S. farms, 1993: 18th annual family farm report to congress: an Economic Research Service report.

Hoppe, R. A.; United States. Dept. of Agriculture. Economic Research Service. iii, 104p. (U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Washington, D.C., 1996)

NAL Call #: 1--Ag84Ab-no.728

Descriptors: family farms-united states-finance; farm income-united states; farm management-united states

121. Structural and financial characteristics of U.S. farms, 1994: 19th annual family farm report to the congress.

Sommer, J. E.; United States. Dept. of Agriculture. Economic Research Service. vi, 103p. (U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Washington, DC, 1997)

NAL Call #: 1--Ag84Ab-no.735

Descriptors: family farms-united states-finance; farm income-united states; farm management-united states

122. Structural changes in U.S. agriculture: implications for small farms.

Gebremedhin, T. G.; Christy, R. D. J agric appl econ. v.28(1): p.57-72. (1996 July)

NAL Call #: HD101.S6

Descriptors: small farms; agricultural policy; structural change; agricultural production

Abstract: A descriptive analysis and a survey of literature were used in this assessment of the transformation of the structure of production agriculture. The changes in production agriculture have important implications for resource use, population distribution in rural communities, and the survival of small farms. The shifting structural change in production agriculture as a response to economic and technological adjustments is not a temporary phenomenon. The economic and natural base of agriculture will change toward greater capital intensity and concentration of ownership, and will raise public policy questions in relation to the survival of a large farm population.

123. A study of consumers at a small farmers' market in Maine: results from a 1995 survey.

Kezis, A.; Gwebu, T.; Peavey, S.; Cheng, H. T. *J food distrib res.* v.29(1): p.91-99. (1998 Feb.)

NAL Call #: HD9000.A1J68

Descriptors: farmers' markets; consumer surveys; demography; consumer attitudes; trends; patronage; purchasing habits; expenditure; maine; penobscot county,maine

124. Sunning as a technique for disinfesting stored beans.

Chinwada, P.; Giga, D. P. Postharvest biol technol. v.9(3): p.355-342. (1996 Dec.)

NAL Call #: SB129.P66

Descriptors: beans; disinfestation; zabrotes subfasciatus; acanthoscelides obtectus; small farms; solar radiation; larvae; polyethylene film; seeds; environmental temperature; time; stored products pests; insect control

125. There's wealth in velvet.

Polachic, D. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.28. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: cervus elaphus canadensis; farming; velvet; small farms; saskatchewan

126. Things to come.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.13(6): p.17-18. (1996 Dec.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; farm management; forecasting

127. A time to act.

USDA National Commission on Small Farms. 121p. (The Commission, Washington, DC, 1998)

NAL Call #: HD1476.U6U83--1998

Descriptors: farms, small-united states; farms, small-government policy-united states

128. "A time to act" - highlights of a report of the USDA National Commission on small farms.

Molen, J. Y. Proc Agric Outl Conf. (USDA World Agricultural Outlook Board). p.104-111. (1998 May)

NAL Call #: aHD1755.A376

Descriptors: agricultural development; agricultural policy; usa

129. Tinkerers today.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.13(5): p.17-18. (1996 Oct.-1996 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; farm management; ancillary enterprises; non farm income; recycling; refitting; resaling

130. The transformation of work on the family farm.

Parent, D. Can j agric econ. v.44(4): p.421-434. (1996 Dec.)

NAL Call #: 281.8-C16

Descriptors: family farms; structural change; adaptation; farmers' attitudes; education; organization of work; quebec

131. U-pick raspberries.

Polachic, D. Small farm today. v.14(6): p.33-35. (1997 Dec.-1998 Jan.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: rubus idaeus; cultivation; small farms

132. A unique measure of the welfare effects of price support programs for corn on family-farm households by size distribution.

Seleka, T. B.; De Gorter, H.; New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences. Dept. of Agricultural, Resource, and Managerial Economics. 25, [12]p. (Dept. of Agricultural, Resource, and Managerial Economics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 1996)

NAL Call #: HD1751.W67--no.96-14

Descriptors: corn prices-united states-mathematical models; agricultural price supports-united states-mathematical models; agricultural price supports-social aspects-united states-mathematical models; farm income-united states-mathematical models

133. Using the silver lining.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.17-18. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: farm management; small farms; market economics; culling; animal breeding; stocks; genetic improvement

134. Vancouver Island farmers are a breed apart.

Adams, N. Small farm today. v.13(4): p.40-42. (1996 Aug.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: livestock; rare breeds; endangered breeds; livestock farming; genetic resources; genetic diversity; resource conservation; small farms; british columbia

135. A very small farm. 1st ed.

Winchester, W. P. 1. 229p. (Council Oak Books, Tulsa, OK, 1996)

NAL Call #: S521.5.O5W567--1996 Descriptors: farm life-oklahoma

136. Viable credit for small operations.

Guess, D. C. Proc Agric Outl Conf. (USDA World Agricultural Outlook Board). p.112-113. (1998 May)

NAL Call #: aHD1755.A376

Descriptors: small farms; contract farming; economic viability; usa

137. Visualizing trends in the structure of U.S. agriculture, 1982 to 1992.

Thomas, J. K.; Howell, F. M.; Wang, G.; Albrecht, D. E. *Rural sociol.* v.61(2): p.349-374. (1996 Summer) NAL Call #: 281.28-R88

Descriptors: agricultural structure; history; trends; patterns; small farms; commercial farming; cluster analysis; agricultural censuses; usa; farming firm; agristructure

Abstract: Multiple indicators of the structure of agriculture were extracted from the U.S. Census of Agriculture for the years 1982, 1987, and 1992, and used to extend previous work by Wimberley (1987). Exploratory factor analysis results for each year yielded three dimensions of agristructure: corporate-commercial, farming-firm and small-farm. Factor-scores used to estimate scales had high reliabilities (omega coefficients > .9) and strong intertemporal correlations for each scale across years were observed. The content of each scale was consistent across the three years, suggesting that structural shifts in the elements defining "agristructure" were minimal. Because the 1980s were a turbulent period for U.S. agriculture, the patterns describing heterogeneity among counties in each year were investigated. Cluster analysis of each year's three factor scales produced five homogeneous groups of counties: three, dominated respectively by small-farms, farming-firms, and corporate-farms and two mixed groups, which we interpreted as reflecting transitional situations. Comparisons of these cluster groupings across the three years identified the emergence of counties typified by organizational patterns of firm-oriented and corporate-commercial farming. Moreover, the patterns of temporal movement by U.S. counties across this typology suggest a fundamental change from homogeneous family-centered small-farming concentrations dominant during most of this century. Implications of the findings are discussed.

138. Walk-behind tractors and tillers.

Salt, S. Small farm today. v.13(2): p.20-24. (1996 Apr.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: walking tractors; rotary cultivators; feasibility; small farms; farm management

139. Water quality education for owners of small ranches.

Cobourn, J.; Donaldson, S. *J soil water conserv.* v.51(1): p.41-45. (1996 Jan.-1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: 56.8-J822

Descriptors: ranching; water quality; water pollution; pollution control; educational programs; landowners;

environmental protection

140. White & colored cotton.

Selbert, P. Small farm today. v.13(1): p.30-32. (1996 Feb.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: gossypium; varieties; cultivation; small farms; arkansas

141. Who are today's farmers.

Breimyer, H. F. Small farm today. v.13(5): p.15-16. (1996 Oct.-1996 Nov.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: farmers; part time farming; full time farming; farm size; farm structure; agricultural censuses; usa

142. Why U.S. agriculture and rural areas have a stake in small farms.

Steele, C. J. *Rural dev perspect*. v.12(2): p.26-31. (1997 Feb.)

NAL Call #: aHN90.C6R78

Descriptors: rural communities; farm families; usa

143. Windsong--an Alaskan farm.

Olthuis, D. Small farm today. v.14(2): p.55-56. (1997 Apr.-1997 May)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; diversification; profitability; alaska

144. The young fella with the good red hogs.

Klober, K. Small farm today. v.15(1): p.17-18. (1998 Feb.-1998 Mar.)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; farm management

145. Your new pasture.

Salatin, J. Small farm today. v.14(3): p.45-46. (1997 June-1997 July)

NAL Call #: S1.M57

Descriptors: small farms; grassland management

The Alternative Farming Systems Information Center at the National Agricultural Library

The Alternative Farming Systems Information Center (AFSIC) is one of eight centers at the National Agricultural Library (NAL) that provide in-depth coverage of specific subject areas relating to agriculture. AFSIC focuses on information related to sustainable and alternative agricultural systems, including new, industrial, and alternative crops. Established at NAL in 1985, the center is also supported by USDA's Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE) program and by a cooperative agreement with the University of Maryland, College Park, MD

A current list of AFSIC information products and copies of this and other publications are available electronically on the AFSIC Website or on computer diskette. Many are also available in hard copy on request.

For further information:

Alternative Farming Systems Information Center

National Agricultural Library, ARS, USDA 10301 Baltimore Blvd., Room 123 Beltsville MD 20705-2351

phone: 301-504-6559, fax: 301-504-6409

afsic.nal.usda.gov

Return to:

Alternative Farming Systems Information Center, http://afsic.nal.usda.gov National Agricultural Library, https://www.nal.usda.gov

United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service National Agricultural Library

The Alternative Farming Systems Information Center, afsic@nal.usda.gov Web Policies and Important Links, https://www.nal.usda.gov/web-policies-and-important-links